

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

July 16, 2012

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1861 FACSIMILE (213) 229-9924 TDD (213) 633-0901

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI

Executive Officer Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

PATRICK A. WU

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda County Claims Board Recommendation Jaime Zurita v. County of Los Angeles, et al.

United States District Court Case No. CV 1101552

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

PAW:rfm

Attachments

## Board Agenda

#### MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Jaime Zurita v. County of Los Angeles</u>, et al., United States District Court Case No. CV 1101552, in the amount of \$400,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Sheriff's Department's budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of false arrest and excessive force by Sheriff's Deputies.

#### **CASE SUMMARY**

### INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

**CASE NAME** 

Jaime Zurita v. County of Los

Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

Case No. CV1101552

COURT

**United States District Court** 

DATE FILED

Complaint filed February 22, 2011

Claim filed August 26, 2009

**COUNTY DEPARTMENT** 

Sheriff's Department

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 400,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Michael Mills

Law Offices of Michael Mills

**COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY** 

Jennifer A.D. Lehman

NATURE OF CASE

Plaintiff Jaime Zurita claims he was detained without probable cause and subjected to excessive force by Sheriff's Deputies.
Mr. Zurita alleges federal civil rights violations and State law causes of action for false arrest, assault and battery.

The Deputies contend that there was probable cause to arrest Mr. Zurita and that the force used to overcome Mr. Zurita's resistance was reasonable.

Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, and in light of the fact that a prevailing plaintiff in a

federal civil rights lawsuit is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$400,000 is recommended.

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 29,124.50

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

15,426.80

Case Name: Jaime Gonzalez Zurita v. County of Los Angeles

# **Summary Corrective Action Plan**



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	Saturday February 28, 2009; approximately 11:58 p.m.
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	Jaime Gonzalez Zurita v. County of Los Angeles Summary Corrective Action Plan No. 2012-013  On Saturday, February 28, 2009, at approximately 11:58 p.m., two Los Angeles County deputy sheriffs returned to 2249 122 <sup>nd</sup> Street, Los Angeles, to a report of cockfighting and illegal drug use. The deputies detained six to eight people.  During their investigation, the deputies became involved in a violent altercation with one of the individuals being detained (plaintiff). With the assistance of two additional deputy sheriffs, the plaintiff was finally subdued and handcuffed.

#### 1. Briefly describe the root cause(s) of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiff was transported to St. Francis Medical Center for injuries to his head, face, and neck area.

In his lawsuit, the plaintiff alleged he was subjected to unreasonable force committed by members of the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate).

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures/protocols in effect at the time of the incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum sufficiently addresses the circumstances which occurred in this incident.

The facts in this case were reviewed by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's Executive Force Review Committee. The committee concluded that the level of physical force used by the deputy sheriffs was reasonable, necessary, and in compliance with Department policy.

3. State if the corrective actions are applicable to only your departme (If unsure, please contact the Chief Executive Office Risk Management Br	nt or other County departments: anch for assistance).	
Potentially has Countywide implications.		
Potentially has an implication to other departments (i.e., all human services, all safety departments, or one or more other departments).		
Does not appear to have Countywide or other department(s) implications.		
Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department		
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)		
Shaun J. Mathers, Captain Risk Management Bureau		
Signature:	Date:	
-0 7 D	6/8/12	
Name: (Department Head)		
Roberta A. Abner, Chief Leadership and Training Division		
Signature:	Date:	
Laburta & alsur	6/11/12	
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Branch		
Name: LEO COSTANTINO		
Signature:	Date:	
MA	6.18 2017	
:Risk Mgt. Inspector General/CAP-SCAP-RECAP/Summary Corrective Action Plan Form 2-01-10 (Final	).docx	